arXiv:astro-ph/0012021v1 1 Dec 2000

Why is the Hubble flow so quiet?

Arthur Chernin(1,2), Pekka Teerikorpi(2), and Yurij Baryshev(3)
(1) Sternberg Astronomical Institute,
Moscow University, 119899, Moscow, Russia,
(2) Tuorla Observatory,
University of Turku, FIN-21500 Piikkiö, Finland,
(3) Institute of Astronomy, St.Petersburg State University,
Staryj Peterhoff,198504, St.Petersburg, Russia.

Abstract

The cosmological vacuum, which is perfectly uniform, dominates by density over all the forms of cosmic matter. It makes the Universe be actually more uniform than it could be seen from the visible picture of the highly non-uniform matter distribution, especially inside the observed cell of uniformity ($100 - 150$ Mpc). This uniformity reveals itself in the structure of the Hubble matter flow which extends over a giant range of cosmic space scales – from few Mpc to a thousand Mpc, – preserving its kinematical identity. According to Sandage (1999), this flow is mysteriously regular and quiet even deep inside the cell of uniformity. An answer we propose to the question in the title above is as follows: This is most probably because the flow is dynamically controlled by the cosmological vacuum. An additional conjecture of cosmological intermittency, that addresses a complex statistical structure of initial chaotic perturbations, is also suggested in this context.

1 Introduction

A new development in cosmological studies has been stimulated by the recent discovery of the cosmic anti-gravitating vacuum and the measurements of the vacuum energy density derived from supernova Type Ia observations (Riess et al. 1998; Perlmuter et al. 1999). It is surprising that the vacuum density proves to exceed the observed mean matter density: $\Omega_{vac} = 0.7 \pm 0.1$, while $\Omega_{mat} = 0.3 \pm 0.1$. It is even more puzzling that the two densities are so close to each other, on the order of magnitude, at this particular stage of the cosmological evolution; perhaps this poses the most serious challenge to current cosmological concepts.

On the other hand, the discovery of the vacuum seems to offer new promising approaches to other important theoretical problems, both classic and modern ones. We discuss here only one aspect of the new situation in cosmology, which concerns the major topic of this meeting, – this is the uniformity of the observed Universe.

The fact that the vacuum is perfectly uniform and dominates by density over all the forms of cosmic matter means that the Universe is actually more uniform than what the cosmic matter distribution indicates. Indeed, because of the vacuum, the total (matter + vacuum) density of the Universe appears smoother, and, as a result, the space geometry of the Universe proves to be closer to that of the Friedmann model, at the present stage of the cosmological expansion. We expose below inferences, coming from this fact, with an emphasis on the observed kinematical structure of the Hubble expansion flow.

2 The Hubble enigma

As is well-known, in 1917, when Einstein proposed his first cosmological model, which was a model of a static uniform Universe, the cosmological expansion was already discovered. This was done by Slipher who published his report in the same year 1917. Seven years after, in 1924, Friedmann discussed Slipher's observations and treated them as an evidence for his model of non-static, expanding Universe. Friedman model predicts the linear velocity-distance relation in the expanding uniform Universe. This relation was found by Hubble, and the results of his observations were published in 1929.

In his five-page paper of 1929, Hubble reported the discovery of 'a relation between distance and radial velocity among extra-galactic nebulae' which is the now-famous Hubble law of the cosmological expansion. If to look at the V vs. R plot given in the Hubble (1929) paper, one may see that the maximal velocity observed is slightly above 1000 km/s. With the commonly accepted now Hubble constant $H = 50 - 65$ km/s/Mpc, the corresponding distances are not larger than 20 Mpc.

Hubble himself believed in 1929, as is well-known, that the distance limit in his observations is about 2 Mpc – see again the same plot. But it is more significant that, these distances, be they 2 or 20 Mpc, are not the distances of cosmological scale. Standard text-books tell us that cosmology begins at distances that are larger than the size of the cell of uniformity which is $100 - 150$ Mpc or more. According to the standard cosmological arguments, the dynamics described by the Hubble law, $V = H \times R$, is a consequence of a uniform distribution of self-gravitating matter, and the standard model leading to the linear velocity field is only valid for R which are larger than the size of the cell of uniformity.

If to look again at the same V vs. R plot, one may realize that the cosmological expansion with the Hubble linear law starts very near us: the smallest distances in the plot are just few Mps, with re-scaling to the present-day value of the Hubble constant.

After all this, one may ask: Does the 'relation between distance and radial velocity among extra-galactic nebulae' observed within 2-20 Mpc have any cosmological relevance?

The seemingly incompatible co-existence of the regular Hubble flow with the highly non-uniform matter distribution deep inside the cell of matter uniformity is what we call the Hubble enigma.

3 Seventy years later

Then, let us address another paper on the subject that was published in 1999, 70 years after the Hubble paper. Sandage (1999) analyzes the rate of cosmological expansion which is measured by the Hubble constant (see also Sandage, 1986, Sandage et al. 1972)

using velocity measurements up to hundreds of thousand km/s and the most reliable distance determinations. The global rate which is appropriate to the space scales well beyond the cell of uniformity ($100-150$ Mpc) is studied as well as the very local velocity field and the local rate of expansion. 'Very local' means very near the Local Group, down to just $R = 1.5 - 2$ Mpc.

The rate of expansion is determined in the Virgocentric frame and obtained with the use of special corrections which are not only the correction for the Sun motion used by Hubble, but also the correction for the galaxy motion in the Local Group and the Virgo infall. Sandage's 'strong conclusion is that the local rate is similar, if not precisely identical, to the global rate at the $\sim 10\%$ level'. (See for comparison Karachentsev and Makarov, 1996, 2000, Wu et al., 1999, Zehavi, Dekel, 1999, Ekholm et al., 1999).

To summarize the present-day observational situation after Sandage (1999),

1) the fact of expansion in the local volume with the sizes from 1.5-2 to 20 Mpc discovered by Hubble has again been confirmed;

2) the kinematical identity of the local (< 20 Mpc) expansion with the global ($>> 100 - 150$ Mpc) expansion has been proved with a high accuracy.

It is also important (and seems even more puzzling) that – after all the corrections – the recent observations of the local velocity field, based on cepheid-distances, have indicated that

3) the linear Hubble flow is very quiet in the local volume: the local velocity dispersion about the mean local expansion rate is about or smaller than 60 km/s.

As Sandage (1999) says, "explanation of why the local expansion field is so noiseless remains a mystery", and again in the same paper: 'We are still left with the mystery...'

4 Vacuum and local volume

To start a theoretical discussion, note first that one-to-one relation between matter motion and density distribution is possible in one and only one case, namely when the matter dynamics is self-consistent, which means that matter itself (both visible and dark matter) is the only dynamical factor that controls its motion. Since such a one-to-one

relation is not really observed, one arrives at a conclusion like that: there is seemingly an additional dynamical factor that affects the matter motion on the space scales from few Mpc to 20 Mpc and further to the largest observed distances. This cosmological factor, which is external to matter itself, makes the matter motion be more regular than it might be expected from the data on matter density distribution. And this is because of this dynamical factor that the highly non-uniform matter distribution in the local volume can have fairly regular motion in this volume. This factor must be stronger dynamically than the self-gravity of matter on the distances of few Mpc and larger.

The only reasonable candidate to this role is obviously the cosmological vacuum.

Historically, after Einstein introduced the cosmological constant Λ, Lemaître was the first to associate Λ with the vacuum having positive energy density ($\rho_{vac}c^2$) and negative pressure ($-\rho_{vac}c^2$) leading to a repulsive cosmological force. In the 60s, Gliner made it clear that the medium with the equation of state $\epsilon = -p$ described by the cosmological constant has the major mechanical property of vacuum: rest and motion can not be discriminated relative to this medium. In other words, any matter motion is co-moving to this medium.

With the vacuum density derived from distant supernovae observations, $\rho_{vac} \simeq 0.7\rho_{crit} = 4.7 \times 10^{-30} h_{60}^2$ g/cm^3, we may try to reconsider the matter dynamics in the local volume as controlled, in general, by baryonic luminous matter, dark matter and the cosmological vacuum. For the scales larger than $\simeq 0.5$ Mpc, the mean density of dark matter is over ten times greater than the mean density of baryons (see for a review Bahcall et al., 1995), so on these scales the dynamics is actually determined by the competition of the gravity of dark matter and the anti-gravity of the vacuum. On such scales, the mass-to-luminosity ratio seems to be more or less constant, hence the dark matter distribution may be traced more or less closely by the luminous matter. As the mean density of luminous matter decreases with increasing scale, so that $M \propto R^D$ (where $D = 1 - 2$), also dark matter may follow the same law (see Peebles, 1993, Teerikorpi, 1997, Teerikorpi et al., 1998, Baryshev et al., 1998).

If so, on which scales the vacuum density starts to dominate over dark matter density?

For a simple estimate of the characteristic scale beyond which vacuum dominates,

we may consider two models that could imitate the real picture in the local volume. In Model A, a spherically symmetric distribution of matter with mass $M(R)$ is placed on the background of the vacuum. And in Model B, two spherical matter halos of equal mass $M(R)$ are on the same background. Model A represents a smooth version of dark matter distribution, while Model B deals with a maximally clumpy distribution.

These models are described by the dynamical equation:

$$d^2R/dt^2 = -GM_{eff}/R^2; \quad M_{eff} = M(r) - (4\pi/3)2\rho_{vac}R^3. \tag{1}$$

where $M(r) = M_*(R/R_*)D$, $R(t, M)$ is the radius of mass distribution in Model A and the distance between the centers of the halos in Model B. The vacuum density ρ_{vac} relates to Einstein's cosmological constant Λ as $\Lambda = 8\pi G\rho_{vac}/c^2$.

The vacuum term in this equation dominates over the matter term at distances

$$R > R_{vac} = R_*^{-D/(3-D)} M_*^{1/(3-D)} (3/8\pi\rho_{vac})^{1/(3-D)}. \tag{2}$$

The appropriate mass here is $M_* = 2 \times 10^{12} M_\odot$ which is the most recent estimate for the mass of the Local Group, and the radius $R_* = 1$ Mpc may be considered as the corresponding size of the matter distribution (van den Bergh 19990). Then the critical distance R_{vac}, where the vacuum starts to dominate, is $R_{vac} \simeq 2$ Mpc for $D = 1$ and $R_{vac} \simeq 4$ Mpc for $D = 2$. Hence, the models put R_{vac} robustly into the range between 2 and 4 Mpc.

This figures prove to be close to the distance $R = 1.5 - 2$ Mpc, where the Hubble law emerges, according to Sandage (1986, 1999) and Ekholm et al. (1999).

Is it hardly a pure coincidence. This is rather an indication that the kinematics of the local matter flow may indeed be controlled mainly by the uniform vacuum.

If so, the rate of expansion – in the zero (and main!) approximation – is expressed via the vacuum density and therefore the rate is the same at all distances starting at 2 – 4 Mpc:

$$H = (dR/dt)/R = \sqrt{(8\pi G/3)\rho_{vac}}. \tag{3}$$

Generally, the Universe is uniform – in the zero approximation – on such distances from us, where the uniform vacuum dominates by effective density over the non-uniform

matter; on these distances, the total cosmological density $\rho = \rho_{vac} + \rho_{dark-matter} + \rho_{baryomic}$ may be considered as uniform one, in this approximation. In the cosmological environment of the Local Group, this zero-order uniformity, as we see, begins with the distances of 3-6 Mpc, so that we may say now that it is from these scales that cosmology begins. Perhaps this way the Hubble enigma may find its solution, at least in principle.

Note that the critical distance R_{vac} we find above does not deviate much from the minimal distance given by both Hubble and Sandage for the Hubble law. The discrepancy will be smaller, if one uses a larger value for the vacuum density, – say, $\Omega_{vac} = 0.8$ and $\Omega_{mat} = 0.2$, or even $\Omega_{vac} = 0..9$ and $\Omega_{mat} = 0.2$; in the latter case, $\Omega = \Omega_{vac} + \Omega_{mat} > 1$. In this sense, may the observed minimal distance $R = 1.5 - 2$ Mpc indicate that the vacuum density is indeed larger than one accepted today?

In other cosmological environments, the dominance of the vacuum my start from different, smaller or larger, distances. For instance, around the Coma cluster, the vacuum dominates starting from $20 - 30$ Mpc or so. For a cosmologist located within the Coma cluster, cosmology begins with the distances of 30 Mpc.

5 Vacuum and stability of the flow

According to Sandage (1999), the local expansion flow is surprisingly cold: the characteristic velocity dispersion, $\sigma \leq 60$ km/s, in the local volume (Sec.3). From the theoretical point of view, the quietness of the expansion flow controlled mainly by the vacuum may be understandable, – at least in principle. Indeed, non-uniform matter distribution has only a weak effect on the matter flow when the self-gravity of matter is weaker than the anti-gravity of the uniform vacuum. The vacuum-dominated era starts at $z = z_{vac} \simeq 0.7$, when $\rho_{mat} = 2\rho_{vac}$.

It may be seen that, in vacuum-dominated universe, no gravitational instability of the Hubble flow is possible, and so all deviations from the linear law cannot increase with time. This may be seen in a simple way from the equation of motion written in the section above.

Eq.1, after first integration, gives:

$$dR/dt = \sqrt{(8\pi/3)\rho_{vac}}\ \ R\ \ (1 + C_1 R^{-3} + C_2 R^{-2})^{1/2}, \tag{4}$$

where C_1 is a constant proportional to the matter mass $M = (4\pi/3)\rho R^3 = Const$, C_2 is a constant of integration.

If vacuum dominates dynamically in the zero approximation, and all other physical factors produce only relatively weak effects, the second and third terms in the parenthesis above are small compared to unity. These two terms give two modes of the first-order (linear) perturbations:

$$(\delta R/R)_1 \propto R^{-3}, \tag{5}$$

$$(\delta R/R)_2 \propto R^{-2}. \tag{6}$$

Both modes are decreasing; the first one reflects the effect of the self-gravity of matter on the matter motion, while the second one shows the effect of the expansion on the flow of the matter which is considered as non-gravitating, in this approximation.

There is also the third mode of linear perturbations that is related to the possibility of arbitrary choice of the moment of zero time; in a formal way, this mode comes from the second integration of Eq.1 and contains the arbitrary constant C_3 of this integration:

$$(\delta R/R)_3 \propto C_3. \tag{7}$$

This third mode is not decreasing, but "frozen".

The method of stability analysis we used here was first suggested by Zeldovich (1965) for Lifshitz-type perturbations in the expanding Universe with zero cosmological constant. With this method, as we see, our analysis proves that the anti-gravity of the vacuum can change radically the Lifshitz-Zeldovich theory of gravitational stability: only decreasing or frozen perturbations are possible in the vacuum dominated Universe. (For more details and also a non-linear stability analysis see Starikova and Chernin, 2000, - in press.)

If one is interested especially in velocity perturbations, which are deviations from the Hubble linear flow, one can find their behaviour from the relations above. For the

three modes one has in terms of both R and red-shifts:

$$(\delta V)_1 \propto R^{-2} \propto (1+z)^2, \tag{8}$$

$$(\delta V)_2 \propto R^{-1} \propto (1+z). \tag{9}$$

In both modes, the velocity perturbations are decreasing. The first one reflects, as above, the dynamical effect of the self-gravity of non-uniform matter on the matter motion, while the second one shows the effect of adiabatic cooling of the flow.

In the frozen mode, the velocity perturbation is increasing:

$$(\delta V)_3 \propto R \propto (1+z)^{-1}. \tag{10}$$

It is easy to see, however, that the third mode is the same as the decreasing mode of the Lifshitz-Zeldovich theory, because the both are related to the constant of the second integration of the equation of motion. It means that the increase of the velocity perturbation starts from very low values in this mode, after its big decrease during all the previous matter-dominated era. Thus, this mode cannot introduce in reality any essential velocity dispersion to the Hubble flow during the vacuum-dominated era.

Both the first and second modes of velocity perturbations make the flow cooler during the cosmological expansion from $z = z_{vac}$ to the present epoch:

$$\delta V/V = (1+z)^{n+1}, \;\; n = 1, 2. \tag{11}$$

¿From this equation, the present-day deviations from the linear flow are expected to be $\delta V/V \leq 0.35$ for the mode with $n = 1$ and $\delta V/V \leq 0.20$ for the mode with $n = 2$.

It is taken here into account that the largest survived to the present velocity perturbations were at the level $\delta V/V \leq 1$, when $z = z_{vac} \simeq 0.7$; perturbations with larger amplitudes must have formed isolated strong matter condensations like galaxies at present, not weak deviations from the Hubble flow.

The fact that there is no large perturbations of velocity and density in the vacuum-dominated universe means that the process of structure formation ceases at (or about) $z = z_{vac}$ beyond the critical distance R_{vac}. In more exact words, no bound stationary

structures can form beyond R_{vac} at all, if they did not reach nonlinear regime ($\delta V/V \simeq 1$) at $z \geq z_{vac}$.

We see that the vacuum is indeed an effective cooler for the Hubble flow. However, as for the figures we get for the velocity dispersion, they are 2-4 times larger than the observational figure given by Sandage. And again, as in the discussion of the section above, the discrepancy will be smaller, if one uses for theoretical estimates a larger value of the vacuum density, – say, $\Omega_{vac} = 0.8 - 0.9$ or even $\Omega_{vac} = 1$ (and $\Omega_{mat} = 0.2 - 0.1$). May one see it as another evidence for a larger vacuum density that comes now from the observed quietness of the Hubble flow?

More exact numbers for the survived velocity dispersion and probable vacuum density can be obtained only from high-resolution large-volume cosmological simulations. Our estimates give, most probably, the best that can be expected in such simulations. We mean that the simulations must take into account that vacuum-domination regime of the flow cooling develops somewhat slower than in our estimates, soon after $z = 0.7$, and so the final figures for the velocity dispersion will be even somewhat larger than given above.

6 Cosmic intermittency

Perhaps the higher densities of the vacuum cannot be seen as the only possible conclusion that one could make from the quietness of the Hubble local flow. In general, may it indicate that, in addition to the physics of vacuum, some new nontrivial physics may also be involved here?

By new physics, we do not mean any revision of the fundamental concepts, but rather ideas from other fields of physics that were not yet exploited in cosmology. Specifically, we would like to discuss briefly only one conjecture that may be borrowed from modern theories of turbulence and dynamical chaos.

As is well-known, the idea of primordial cosmic chaos has deep roots in cosmology; it has been not once supposed in modern studies that the very early Universe was a system with complex chaotic behaviour of the space-time and primeval physical fields.

One example is Linde (1983) model in which the initial chaotic distribution of the scalar inflationary field leads eventually to the formation of various universes with different physics or at least different cosmological parameters. Other interesting examples of cosmological chaos are given by Kamenschik et al. (1998).

In a more modest version, we may consider a very early Universe in a state of primordial chaos and assume (not necessarily within the inflation scenario) that the structure of the initial chaos included order as one its dynamical elements, so that order and chaos alternated in a complex and random space-time pattern. This conjecture is inspired by the recently developed theory of 'weak chaos' (Sagdeev *et al.* 1988; Zaslavsky *et al.* 1991), according to which chaotic dynamics as a rule contain regularity islands, and the weaker chaos is, the larger is the area of the islands. This concept has proven to be fruitful in various fields, from turbulence and plasma physics to stock market analysis.

The phenomenon of alternation of chaos and order is called intermittency and it is really observed in hydrodynamics. It reveals in laboratory experiments as random bursts of turbulent motion on the background of unstable laminar flows. Laminar and turbulent areas are separated by irregular and moving boundaries, and the flow velocity measured at a given point near a boundary will show alternating laminar or turbulent motions when the point enters or leaves the laminar region as the boundary moves.

In observations in ocean, a flow velocity measured with a device driven with a long rope by a ship shows regular or chaotic velocity behaviour as the ship moves. The space pattern of the flow may look like an archipelago of islands of laminarity in the turbulent sea, at a given moment of time. It is this discovery of intermittency that, in 1962, made Kolmogorov change his 1941-point of view on 'Kolmogorov' turbulence. Since that intermittency has been recognized as a robust and generic prediction of the recent theory of chaos for a wide variety of natural (and social) phenomena.

Suggestive insights come also from three-body problem. We studied time series generated by three-body computer models and discovered obvious signs of intermittency in these series (Heinämäki et al. 1998). We observed rapid bursts of chaotic pulsations that appear in an unpredictable manner after more or less regular quasi-periodic behaviour of the system, and vice verse.

In the cosmological context, the weak intermittent primordial chaos might reveal in the phase-space structure of initial perturbations. In the phase space, "warm" areas of perturbations with relatively higher amplitudes might alternate in chaotic manner with "cool" areas of low amplitude perturbations, producing together a non-stationary and evolving pattern of stochastic behaviour. One may imagine cool spots in the warm sea or warm spots in the cool sea of perturbations. It would be natural to expect that the spectra of perturbations are different in these warm and cool areas.

Intermittency can introduce specific complexity to the statistical structure of the primordial perturbations. Some special parameters are needed for its adequate description, which raises new questions and demands further clarification. Everything is complicated: the location of the cool spots in the configuration and velocity subspaces of the phase space, their size distribution, the shapes of the island boundaries, etc. We are now at an early state of the art, and because of this we may start with simplified models, in which, for instance, the quietness of the Hubble local flow may be treated as a manifestation of an island of quasi-regularity or a cool spot in the generally turbulent Hubble flow. It is on such quit spots where one may measure locally the global Hubble constant.

Copernican principle tells us that there must be not only one and the only cool area in the Hubble flow, but there must be other areas of this kind alternating with other areas, each with its own dispersion of the velocity field. If so, the statistical structure of the initial cosmological perturbations must be more complex than it is usually discussed. In particular, the initial spectrum of the perturbations alone is not enough for the description of this statistics in the intermittent Universe. Perhaps cosmology will follow the evolution that the theory of turbulence has undergone: from the universal spectrum to richness of complexity.

7 Vacuum and the bulk motion

Let us turn back again to Hubble's original V vs. R plot. The velocities and distances are given relative to the Sun, in this plot. However, the Sun orbits around the center of the Galaxy, the Galaxy moves in the Local Group toward the Andromeda Galaxy,

the Local Group moves toward the Local Supercluster center (the Virgocentric flow), and, finally, there is a bulk motion of all these masses toward the Great Attractor. The velocity of this bulk motion is the largest one among the velocities of the other motions in which the Sun participates: this is about 600 km/s in the rest frame of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) which the proper cosmological reference frame. The bulk motion has a space scale of about 100 Mpc which is comparable with the size of the cell of uniformity. (See, for instance, Karachentsev and Makarov, 1996, 2000, for a recent discussion, new measurements and references to original papers on the local velocity field).

It is obvious that the heliocentric reference frame used by Hubble is not cosmological at all. Direct data on the dipole anisotropy of the CMB indicate that the Sun moves with a velocity of about 300 km/s relative to the CMB. On the other hand, the heliocentric velocities plotted in V vs. R diagram are the result of superposition of various motions with velocities from 10-20 to 200-250 km/s within the whole bulk motion of all the volume. How was Hubble able to recognize the regular cosmological flow in this complex kinematic picture? This is another aspect of the same Hubble enigma, now in terms of kinematics alone.

An interpretation that seems to suggest itself is that the flow observed by Hubble is superimposed on the bulk motion, and this superimposed flow is organized in the regular kinematic pattern – linear and isotropic, no matter how fast the bulk motion against the CMB is. In other words, within the whole volume of a hundred Mpc across, a local cosmological expansion proceeds. This local expansion is seen as the Hubble flow and it has the same kinematic structure and expansion rate (the Hubble constant) as the large-scale (up to a thousand Mpc) cosmological expansion (see Sec.3).

¿From the standard cosmology viewpoint, such a local linear and isotropic expansion within a large (100 Mpc across) volume, that moves as a whole rapidly against the CMB, looks puzzling. According to these standard concepts, the linear and isotropic expansion flow on any scale can be expected only in the cosmological reference frame that must be co-moving to the CMB.

We may, however, turn again to the idea of the cosmological vacuum and examine if

the cosmological vacuum can provide an approach to this problem as well. Discussing the problems of Secs. 2-5, we referred to two properties of the cosmological vacuum, namely its uniformity and its anti-gravity. Let us now try the third property of the vacuum we mention in Sec.4; this is the major mechanical property of the vacuum which makes it be co-moving to any matter motion, or, which is the same, it makes any matter motion to be co-moving to the vacuum.

When the vacuum dominates over the self-gravity of matter, matter masses like galaxies and their groups move as test particles undergoing the anti-gravity of the vacuum. The vacuum accelerates the motions tending to form a regular kinematic pattern with linear velocity field. The expansion rate in the flow of this origin is the constant $H = \sqrt{(8\pi/3)G\rho_{vac}}$, which depends only on the value of the vacuum density.

These considerations – in combination with the idea of cosmic intermittency – can be applied to a local vacuum-dominated area, no matter how fast is the bulk motion of the area against the CMB. They are valid as well for the large-scale matter distribution; in both cases, the flow will be linear and the rate of expansion will be the same on any scale. And the flow will also be stable against any velocity or density perturbations (see Sec.5).

8 Conclusions

A close look at Hubble's original V vs. R plot in the light of the present-day cosmological observations leads to a number of seemingly simple, but non-trivial questions:

1.Does the Hubble flow really start at the very vicinity of the Local Group, e.g. at the distance of about 2 Mpc?

2. Does the very local (2-20 Mpc) Hubble flow have indeed the same expansion rate as the global (up to 1000 Mpc) cosmological expansion has?

3. Does velocity dispersion in the Hubble flow is actually very low (e.g. about 60 km/s)?

4. Is the Hubble local flow compatible in fact with the 600 km/s bulk motion of the volume of 100 Mpc across?

We adopted above the positive answer to all these questions and tried to explain how the idea of cosmological vacuum might provide a physical interpretation – at least, in principle – of these four properties of the Hubble flow.

First, we demonstrated how the vacuum might induce the linear expansion flow around us from the very small distances of 2-4 Mpc which are much less than the size of the cosmological cell of uniformity. This is because the vacuum starts to dominate dynamically over dark matter at these distances.

Second, we developed stability analysis for the Hubble flow and found that the flow could be stable, when it was controlled by the vacuum. Because of this, the vacuum proved to be a good cooler for the flow.

Third, we found that the flow stability could hardly explain, however, the figure given by Sandage for the velocity dispersion in the flow. And because of this, we suggested an additional idea of cosmological intermittency in search for another (or less so simple) solution of the problem.

Fourth, we sketched a possible approach to the problem of interference of the Hubble local flow with the high-velocity bulk motion. Both flows could be due to a complex statistical structure of the initial intermittent perturbations and can be compatible because the vacuum is co-moving to any matter motion in the Universe.

Fifth, we realized that the observed quietness of the Hubble flow and the observed figures for the minimal distance in the Hubble law may be seen as an indication to a larger value of the vacuum density.

Thus, the cosmological vacuum, which is uniform, anti-graviting and universally co-moving, dominates in the Universe since $z \sim 1$ over all the forms of cosmic matter by density. It makes the Universe be actually more uniform than it could be expected from the picture of highly non-uniform matter distribution within the observed cell of uniformity. This uniformity reveals itself in the kinematical structure of the Hubble matter flow which proves to be regular and quiet on the space scales from few Mpc to 1000 Mpc. The answer we propose to the question "Why is the Hubble flow so quiet?" is as follows: This is most probably because the flow is mainly controlled by the vacuum. At the same time, we feel that this may not be the final and complete answer to the

question, and one cannot exclude that the quietness of the Hubble flow may also be a manifestation of some other generic physical factors or processes we do not know now about. As an example of a new element in a possibly wider approach to the problem, we consider the idea of cosmological intermittency which addresses a complex statistical structure of initial chaotic cosmological perturbations.

9 Acknowledgements

The work was supported in part by The Academy of Finland (project "Cosmology in the local galaxy universe"). We are grateful to Chris Flynn and Igor Karachentsev for helpful comments.

References

Bahcall, N.A., Lubin, L.M., Dorman, V., Where is the dark matter?, *Astrophys.J.*, **447**, L81-L85, 1995.

Baryshev, Yu., Sylos Labini, F., Montuori, M., Pietronero, L., Teerikorpi, P., On the fractal structure of galaxy distribution and its implications for cosmology, *Fractals* **6**, 231-243, 1998.

van den Bergh, S., The local group of galaxies. *Astron.Astrophys.Rev.* **9**, 273-318, 1999.

Ekholm, T., Lanoix, P., Teerikorpi, P., Paturel, G., Fouqué, P., Investigations of the local supercluster velocity field II. A study using Tolman-Bondi solution and galaxies with accurate distances from the Cepheid PL-relation, *Astron.Astrophys.* **351**, 827-833, 1999.

Heinämäki, P., Lehto, H., Chernin A., Valtonen, M., Three-body dynamics: Intermittent chaos and strange attractor , *MN RAS*, **298**, 790-796, 1998

Hubble, E., A relation between distance and radial velocity among extra-galactic nebulae, *Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci.*, **15**, 168-173, 1929.

Kamenschik, A.Yu., Khalatnikov, I.M., Toporensky A.V., Simplest cosmological models with scalar field, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **D7**, 129-137, 1998.

Karachentsev, I., Makarov, D., The Galaxy motion relative to nearby galaxies and the local velocity field, *Astron.J.*, **111**, 794-803 1996.

Karachentsev, I., Makarov, D., The local velocity field of galaxies, *Astrophysics*, , 2000.

Linde, A., Chaotic inflation, *Phys.Lett.*, **129B**, 177-182, 1983.

Peebles, P.J.E., Principles of Cosmology, Princeton, 1993.

Perlmuter, S. et al., Measurements of Ω and Λ from 42 high-redshift supernovae, *Astrophys.J.*, **517**, 565-586, 1999.

Riess, A.G. et al., Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant, *Astron.J.* **116**, 1009-1038, 1998.

Sagdeev R.Z., Usikov D.A., Zaslavsky G.M., Nonlinear Physics: ¿From the Pendulumto Turbulence and Chaos Harwood Acad. Publ., New York, 1988.

Sandage, A., Bias properties of extragalactic distance indicators. VIII. H_0 from distance-limited luminosity class and morphological type-specific luminosity functions for Sb, Sbc, and Sc galaxies calibrated using cepheids, *Astrophys.J.*, **527**, 479-487, 1999.

Sandage, A., The redshift-distance relation.IX. Perturbation of the very nearby velocity field by the mass of the Local Group, *Astrophys.J.*, **307**, 1-19, 1986.

Sandage, A., Tammann, G., Hardy, E., Limits on the local deviation of the universe from a homogeneous model, *Astrophys.J.*, **172**, 253-263, 1972.

Teerikorpi, P., Observational selection bias affecting the determination of the extragalactic distance scale, *Ann.Rev.Astron.Astrophys.* **35**, 101-136, 1997.

Teerikorpi, P. et al., The radial space distribution of KLUN-galaxies up to 200 Mpc: incompleteness or evidence for the behaviour predicted by fractal dimension $\approx$ 2 ?, *Astron.Astrophys.*, **334**, 395-403, 1998.

Wu, K.K.S., Lahav, O., Rees, M.J., The large-scale smoothness of the universe, *Nature*, **397**, 225-230, 1999.

Zaslavsky G.M., Sagdeev R.Z., Cherninkov A.A., Usikov D.A., Weak Chaos andQuasiregular Patterns, Cambridge Univ. Press. New York, 1991.

Zehavi, I., Dekel, A., Evidence for a positive cosmological constant from flows of galaxies and distant supernovae, *Nature*, **401**, 252-254, 1999.

Zeldovich Ya.B., Modern cosmology, *Adv.Astron.Astrophys.*, **3**, 241-258, 1965.